# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Patricia M. Colburn,
Plaintiff

V.

Richard Cappiello,
Defendant.

Patricia M. Colburn,
Plaintiff

V.

Civil Action No. 04-11784 MLW

Civil Action No. 04-11784 MLW

Civil Action No. 04-11784 MLW

Civil Action No. 04-11859 MLW

# JOINT STATEMENT PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 16.1(D)

Counsel for the parties to the above-captioned matters conferred on February 25, 2005, and now file this joint statement pursuant to Local Rule 16.1(D) and the Court's Notice of Scheduling Conference dated January 21, 2005.

## I. <u>Proposed Pretrial Schedule:</u>

#### A. <u>Discovery</u>

Phased discovery is necessary in this case, given the Defendants' pending Motions to Dismiss. As grounds, the Parties state that, if successful, the Defendants' respective Motions to Dismiss will be dispositive of all issues addressed by the Plaintiffs' complaint, negating the necessity of discovery in this case. Therefore, engaging in discovery prior to the Court's ruling on the Motions to Dismiss would only serve to unnecessarily increase the expense of this litigation to the Defendants. Further, the Plaintiff's claims are not prejudiced by the Defendants' request given the early stage of this litigation.

The Parties have agreed to exchange initial disclosures pursuant to F.R.C.P. 26(a)(1)(A)-(D) and Local Rule 26.1(B) by March 18, 2005. Further, the Parties agree to defer the commencement of any further discovery until this court has ruled upon the Defendants' pending Motions to Dismiss. Discovery shall close six months from the date of this Court's Order on the Defendants' Motions to Dismiss.

## B. Motions

The parties propose that any dispositive motions shall be filed no later than eight months after this Court's Order on the Defendants' Motions to Dismiss.

C. Final pretrial conference and trial dates are to be scheduled by the Court.

# II. <u>Trial By Magistrate Judge</u>

The parties do consent to trial by a Magistrate Judge.

## III. Certifications

The parties' certifications pursuant to Local Rule 16.1(D)(3) are being filed separately by the respective parties.

## IV. Settlement Proposal

No written settlement demand was provided by the Plaintiff to the Defendants in accordance with Local Rule 16.1(C). Plaintiff has made a verbal demand of \$100,000,000.00 on each of the Defendants' counsel. The demand has been rejected by the Defendants.

## Respectfully submitted,

Richard Cappiello and Miles Calvey, By their attorneys,

#### s/ Nicole Horberg Decter\_

Harold L. Lichten, BBO #549689 Nicole Horberg Decter, BBO #658268 Pyle, Rome, Lichten, Ehrenberg & Liss-Riordan, P.C. 18 Tremont Street, Suite 500 Boston, MA 02108

Telephone: (617) 367-7200 Facsimile: (617) 367-4820

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. By its attorneys,

#### s/ Windy Rosebush\_

Timothy P. Van Dyck, BBO #548347 Windy L. Rosebush, BBO #636962 EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP 101 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110

Telephone: (617) 439-4444 Facsimile: (617) 439-4170

Patricia Colburn, pro se litigant 100 Kenelworth Avenue Brockton, MA 02301 Telephone: (508) 584-5713

Date: March 11, 2005